



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Ultrasound-guided approach to the cervical articular process joints in horses: a validation of the technique in cadavers

Citation for published version:

Johnson, JP, Stack, JD, Rowan, C, Handel, I & O'leary, JM 2017, 'Ultrasound-guided approach to the cervical articular process joints in horses: a validation of the technique in cadavers', *Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and Traumatology*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 165-171. <https://doi.org/10.3415/VCOT-16-09-0139>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.3415/VCOT-16-09-0139](https://doi.org/10.3415/VCOT-16-09-0139)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and Traumatology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Ultrasound – guided approach to the cervical articular process joints in horses: a validation of the technique in cadavers

Journal:	<i>Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and Traumatology</i>
Manuscript ID	VCOT-16-09-0139.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	Ultrasound-guided, Horse, Cervical articular process joint, Computed tomography
Abstract:	<p>Objectives: To compare accuracy of the ultrasound-guided craniodorsal (CrD) approach with the dorsal (D) approach to the cervical APJs, and to evaluate the effect of transducer, needle gauge, and operator experience.</p> <p>Methods: Cervical APJs from 14 cadaveric neck specimens were injected using either a D or CrD approach, a linear (13 MHz) or microconvex transducer (10 MHz), an 18 or 20 ga needle, by an experienced or inexperienced operator. Injectate consisted of an iodinated-contrast and methylene-blue mixture. Time taken for injection, number of redirects, and retrieval of synovial fluid were recorded. Accuracy was assessed using a scoring system for contrast seen on computed tomography (CT).</p> <p>Results: Both approaches performed comparably with 89.7% (D; 61 of 68) and 89.0% (CrD; 57 of 64) of injections intra-articular on contrast CT. No significant effect of approach, transducer or needle gauge was observed on injection accuracy, time taken to perform injection, or number of redirects. The 18 ga needle had a positive correlation with retrieval of synovial fluid. A positive learning curve was observed for the inexperienced operator.</p> <p>Clinical relevance: Both approaches to the cervical APJs were highly accurate. Ultrasound-guided injection of the cervical APJs is an easily-learned technique for an inexperienced veterinarian. Either approach may be employed in the field with a high level of accuracy, using widely available equipment.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1 Introduction

2 Ultrasound-guided injection of the cervical articular process joints (APJs) is indicated for
3 horses showing a variety of clinical signs including neck pain and stiffness, ataxia and
4 paresis, forelimb lameness and abnormal head carriage (1). Cervical vertebral diseases
5 include degenerative or inflammatory disease, osteochondrosis of the cervical APJs, or
6 narrowing of the vertebral canal (1, 2). Intra-articular injection techniques have potential for
7 both diagnostic and therapeutic applications (1, 2, 3).

8
9 Two ultrasound-guided injection techniques of the cervical APJ have been reported, cranial
10 (4) and dorsal (2, 5) approaches. To date, only the dorsal approach has been validated.
11 Nielsen *et al.* (2003) described a cadaveric study of 60 APJs from 8 specimens, using a dorsal
12 approach (2). Seventy-two percent were found to be intra-articular, with a further 17 % intra-
13 capsular. It has been shown in human cervical zygapophysial joint blocks that intra-articular
14 diffusion of injectate can occur across an intact anterior capsule (6), thus an intra-capsular
15 injection may be sufficient to achieve a diagnostic or therapeutic outcome in the horse (2). As
16 it is unknown whether the APJ capsule in man and the horse are comparable in terms of
17 thickness and composition, this proposed mechanism of diffusion across an intact capsule
18 may not apply to the horse. The cranial approach has been described whereby the transducer
19 is orientated parallel to the long-axis of the neck, in alignment with the vertebral column (4).
20 In this technique an 18 ga needle is introduced cranial to the transducer and is directed into
21 the joint space. In a retrospective study of 59 horses with cervical APJ arthropathy, treated
22 with intra-articular corticosteroids using this technique, 71.2% of cases returned to normal
23 function or had improved performance, as reported by the owner (1). Whilst this
24 demonstrates the clinical usefulness of the technique and highlights its diagnostic value, it
25 does not provide information on the accuracy. A third technique, the 'craniodorsal' approach,

exists but has not yet been described nor validated in the literature. In humans, accuracy of cervical intraarticular injections has been reported to be up to 90 %, with arthrography used as confirmation of intra-articular location (6), thus there is still scope for improvement in the technique in the horse. Further investigation is warranted to ascertain which approach provides maximum accuracy, in order to achieve an optimal diagnostic or therapeutic outcome in practice.

The aim of this study was to describe and validate the previously unreported craniodorsal (CrD) approach to the craniodorsal synovial recess of the cervical APJ in the horse and to compare it to the previously reported dorsal (D) approach. The secondary aim was to evaluate the effect of the ultrasound transducer (linear 13 MHz or microconvex 10 MHz), needle gauge (18 ga or 20 ga), and operator experience (experienced or inexperienced), on accuracy of injection. We hypothesised that compared to the dorsal approach; the craniodorsal approach to the APJs would result in a higher accuracy (defined as successful intra-articular injection). We hypothesised that a microconvex transducer, and 18 ga needle, would result in improved accuracy and faster injection times, compared to a linear transducer, and 20 ga needle, respectively. We also hypothesised that the learning curve would be steep for an inexperienced operator and that the experienced operator would be more accurate overall.

Methods and Materials

Neck Specimens

Cadaveric neck specimens were harvested from 14 adult horses euthanized for reasons other than lameness, neck pain or ataxia. The specimens were from adult horses (5 geldings, 9 mares). Horses were estimated to weigh 420 - 650 kg. Specimens were obtained from 5

Thoroughbreds and 9 Irish Sport Horses. The necks were transected at the first thoracic vertebrae, with heads left intact, within 24 hours of death.

Procedure

Specimens were initially placed in right lateral position. The hair was clipped and the skin prepared for ultrasonographic examination. Cervical APJs were identified using either a linear high frequency (13 MHz) or a microconvex (10 MHz) ultrasound transducer^a. The following variables were randomly selected for each joint (by coin toss): operator (authors XX or XX); approach (CrD or D); transducer type (linear or microconvex) and needle gauge (18 ga or 20 ga). A new randomisation procedure (coin toss) was performed for each 'new' joint; e.g. the combination of operator / approach / transducer type / needle gauge was randomly assigned for each individual joint, until every combination had been performed once on each joint. If a combination was obtained which had previously been performed, the coin was tossed again until a previously unperformed combination was obtained. Joints were injected sequentially from cranial to caudal, using a mixture of 1.5 ml iodinated contrast material solution (Ioversol 300 mg/ml)^b and 0.5 ml of 1 % methylene blue solution^c. Each joint was injected only once. For each injection the following parameters were recorded: time taken from needle touching skin to withdrawal of the stylet, number of redirection attempts, and whether synovial fluid was obtained on aspiration. Redirection was defined as withdrawal of the needle in order to alter its course. After injection of the APJs from C2 - C7 (5 APJs) on the left side were performed, the process was repeated in the contralateral (left lateral) recumbency. When APJs on both sides had been injected, computed tomography (CT) examination was performed.

Formatted: Not Highlight

75 *Dorsal approach to the cervical APJ*

76 After identifying the APJ, the transducer was oriented perpendicular to the long axis of the
77 neck. With dorsal to the right of the screen, the image was adjusted until the joint space was
78 at its widest and most accessible. ~~Using a 'free hand' technique a~~ spinal needle (9 cm, 18 or
79 20 ga) was introduced dorsal to the transducer along its long-axis into the joint space ([Figure](#)
80 [1](#); Figure 2 (a)).

81 If the angle of approach of the needle did not match the joint angle, the needle tip would
82 encounter bone, necessitating redirection of the needle. Once satisfied that the needle tip was
83 seated in the joint, the stylet was removed and an empty 2 ml syringe was attached to the
84 needle for aspiration to check for the presence of joint fluid. The ~~1.5 ml~~ contrast ~~/dye~~
85 ~~mixture~~[solution](#) was instilled into the joint. If injection was met with resistance the needle
86 was withdrawn marginally and/or rotated 180 degrees until no resistance was encountered. [In](#)
87 [the case of negative joint fluid aspiration, if the operator was satisfied that the needle tip was](#)
88 [seated in the joint, the contrast solution was instilled into the joint.](#) The stylet was replaced
89 prior to withdrawal to minimise drag of injectate through the soft tissues.

91 *Craniodorsal approach to the cervical APJ*

92 Once the APJ was identified and the optimal image obtained (as described above), the
93 transducer was rotated 45 degrees cranially (counterclockwise for the left side and clockwise
94 for the right) and advanced cranially to visualise the cranial aspect of the APJ. The image was
95 manipulated to visualise the joint space at its widest. ~~As above, a "free hand" technique was~~
96 ~~employed, using a spinal needle (9 cm, 18 or 20 ga).~~ ~~A spinal~~The needle [\(9 cm, 18 or 20 ga\)](#)
97 was inserted craniodorsal to the transducer ([Figure 1](#)) and directed under ultrasound control
98 so that the angle of approach matched the joint angle, allowing the needle to pass freely into
99 the joint space. Injection was performed as described above for the D approach (Figure 2 (b)).

100

101 *Assessment of injection*

102 CT images for all necks were acquired in lateral recumbency with the same multi-slice helical

103 CT scanner^{cd}. Scans were made in helical acquisition mode with a slice thickness of 6 mm

104 and a pitch of 1.5. Technical settings were 120 kV, 280 Eff mAs, 0.75 s tube rotation time, a

105 455 mm field of view and a 512 × 512 matrix. The images were reconstructed at 3 mm slice

106 width and a reconstruction increment of 2 mm at a high frequency reconstruction algorithm

107 (WL 450 WW 1500).

108 Multiplanar reconstructions and two-dimensional image sequences were produced using

109 commercially available DICOM viewing software^{de}.

110

111 Following CT examination, the specimens were dissected to facilitate examination of the

112 distribution of the methylene blue injectate, and to compare its location with that of the

113 contrast on CT.

114

Formatted: Highlight

115 *Data Analysis*

116 The CT images were analysed individually by 4 authors (XX, XX, XX, XX) and then scored

117 as a consensus. Each APJ was scored using the protocol found in Table 1 (see also Figure 3

118 (a) – (c)). Specimen dissection was performed by a single, blinded, author (XX). Scores,

119 timings and number of redirection attempts were recorded for each of the 2 transducers

120 utilised, operators performing the injection, for each approach, and both needle gauges.

121

Formatted: Highlight

122 Dissection findings were assigned a score of 1 if methylene blue was seen intra-articularly

123 within the APJ ('hit'), or 0 if no methylene blue was seen within the APJ ('miss').

124

Formatted: Highlight

Initial exploration of the data used summary statistics, univariable and bivariate plots. Association between the primary outcome of interest, CT score, and potential predictors was assessed using ordinal regression (treating the score as a ranked sequence) and linear regression (making the assumption that the scores were approximately evenly spaced on a scale). Scores were also dichotomised onto scores 1–2 being a ‘miss’ and 3 – 64 a ‘hit’. This outcome was analysed using logistic regression. In all regression models a random error term was included for the horse as multiple trials were conducted on each horse ~~neck~~^{pelvis}. Initial regression models included all potential predictor covariates in an aim to adjust estimates of associations of interest for variation in other covariates. Models were simplified by stepwise removal of covariates to minimise AIC (Akaike information criteria), a parameter-count penalised measure of model fit. Final significance of covariates was tested using a likelihood ratio test (LRT). Further multivariable models were used to assess the association between covariates and secondary outcomes including retrieval of synovial fluid (SF), time to complete the procedure and number of needle redirections. Poisson regression was used for the redirection count data and time was log transformed to produce normally distributed model residuals as time measurements were highly right skewed. Critical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. The R Statistical Software system was used for statistical analysis^{ef}.

142

143 Results

Fourteen neck specimens (140 APJs) were included in the study. Eight APJs were discarded: improper sectioning led to fractured caudal APJs in 2 and subcutaneous gas precluded ultrasonic imaging of caudal APJs in 6. One hundred and thirty two APJs were evaluated. Each APJ/needle gauge/transducer combination was injected by each operator at least once. Results of the CT scoring system are shown in Table 1. Table 2 summarises the number of injections performed for each APJ, laterality, needle gauge, transducer and operator. The

150 proportion of intra-articular injections as seen on contrast CT is shown in the right-hand
151 column as hit/miss.
152

153 One hundred and eighteen injections (89.4 %) were intra-articular, resulting in contrast seen
154 within the APJ on CT. Synovial fluid was obtained on aspiration for 56 (42.4 %) of the
155 injections, with no synovial fluid obtained in 76 (57.6 %). The mean time taken to perform
156 the injections was 51.7 seconds (range 3-390 seconds, sd 51.45). The mean number of
157 redirects for each injection was 2.6 (range 0-14, sd 2.01).
158

159 Ordinal regression showed that APJ site ($p = 0.013$) was significantly associated with
160 injection score. In the ordinal regression model operator, transducer, approach, needle and
161 laterality were not significant predictors of score and AJP site remained significant when
162 these covariates were forced back into the final model. Interestingly, the C2-C3 articulation
163 had the highest number of injection scores of 1 compared with the other articulations, with
164 none of the C5-C6 articulations having an injection score of 1. AJP site alone was also the
165 statistically significant predictor when score was treated as a numerical outcome ($p = 0.005$).
166 Exploratory analysis suggested that operator was correlated with injection score. However
167 operator was not a significant predictor of numerical or ranked score in the multivariable
168 models. When correlation between needle gauge and the likelihood of achieving an injection
169 score of 5 (i.e intra-articular with needle reflux) was assessed, no significant association was
170 found ($p = 0.15$ LRT).
171

172 When injections scores were re-categorised as either a ‘hit’ (intra-articular contrast seen on
173 CT, score ≥ 3) or ‘miss’ (no intra-articular contrast seen on CT, score ≤ 2), ~~facet-APJ~~ (p =
174 0.035 LRT) and operator ($p = 0.046$ LRT) were found to have statistically significant effects.

175

176 Needle gauge was found to have a significant association with retrieval of synovial fluid ($p =$
177 0.013 LRT, SF retrieval less likely with 20 ga needle) and was the only significant predictor
178 in the multivariable model of SF retrieval. The effect was robust to inclusion of ~~facet-APJ~~ and
179 operator in the model. Needle gauge was not found to have significant effects on time taken
180 to perform injections ($p = 0.47$). thereby rejecting our null hypothesis that the 18 ga would
181 have a faster injection time compared to the 20 ga. ~~However,~~ a higher number of needle
182 redirects was associated with use of a 20 ga needle ($p = 0.004$).

183

184 Use of the microconvex transducer ~~M~~ was associated with a significantly shorter procedure
185 time ($p = 0.03$, 23% shorter time) and fewer redirects ($p = 0.003$, 28% fewer redirections).
186 thereby partially confirming our null hypothesis that the microconvex transducer would result
187 in improved accuracy and faster injection times, compared to a linear transducer.

188

189 Regarding the effect of approach, no significant effect was seen on either time taken to
190 perform injections ($p = 0.92$) nor number of needle redirects ($p = 0.16$). These findings reject
191 our null hypothesis that the CrD approach would result in higher injection accuracy.

192

193 The CrD approach, in combination with an 18 ga needle, and a linear transducer, was found
194 to have the highest mean injection score both on raw numerical score (mean 4.84) (Table 3)
195 and on numerical score using a multivariable ordinal regression model to correct for any
196 effect of facet and operator.

197

198 The results were compatible with a positive learning curve for the inexperienced operator
199 (XX) (see Figure 4). Overall, the experienced operator obtained an injection score of 3 or

higher (“hit”) on CT in 95.4 % of cases, whilst for the inexperienced operator, an injection score of 3 or higher (“hit”) on CT was obtained in 83.6 % of cases.

Discussion

This study describes two ultrasound-guided approaches (D and CrD) to the craniodorsal recess of the cervical APJ of 14 equine cadavers and evaluated the success of injection on contrast CT. Taking the presence of intra-articular contrast material as a successful injection attempt, any injections scoring 3 or higher can be considered successful. By this definition, 89.7% of D approaches, and 89.0% of CrD approaches were successful, with the total accuracy for both approaches combined being 89.4 % (118 / 132 injections intra-articular on contrast CT). This study demonstrates the high level of accuracy of intra-articular cervical APJ injections performed via both the dorsal and craniodorsal approaches. This is a marked improvement on the previously reported success rate of 72 %, which may in part be attributable to more modern technology providing improved image quality (2). The CrD approach allowed the craniodorsal joint margins to be clearly visualised. This approach is advantageous as the angle allows visualisation of the needle as it enters the joint, passing between the dorsal articular APJs of the adjoining vertebrae (Figure 2 (c) & (d)). Conversely, when approaching the APJ from a D position the needle can be impeded from accessing the joint space by the angulation of the APJ, by periarticular osteophytes or a prominently positioned cranial APJ (Figure 2 (a) & (b)). Thus it is possible that both the D and CrD approaches performed comparably in the present study as the specimens were pathology free, and that the CrD approach may be more accurate for injection of diseased APJs.

The accuracy of injection was not significantly different depending on the ultrasound transducer used, although use of the microconvex transducer ~~r-M~~ was associated with a 23%

shorter procedure time and 28% fewer redirects. Image quality was good for both transducer types for all included APJs. The results showed that injection of the C2-C3 articulation resulted in a greater proportion having a score of 1 (miss) (n = 7) compared to other APJs which had fewer scores of 1 (e.g. the C5-C6 articulations had no scores of 1). The more superficial location and steeper dorsoventral angulation of this C2-C3 articulation required an altered angle of approach, with less depth of tissue available for redirection of the needle. In addition, the joint outpouching of the C2-C3 articulation has been shown to have a smaller volume than the more caudal articulations (7). These anatomical characteristics unique to the C2-C3 articulation could explain the reduced accuracy observed at this site.

234

The authors subjectively found the 18 ga needle easier to visualise and redirect within tissue compared to the 20 ga, which may account for the higher number of needle redirects associated with the use of the 20 ga. Furthermore, the 18 ga needle was found to be significantly associated with the retrieval of synovial fluid. The authors had anticipated that the 18 ga needle would have a higher incidence of needle tract contrast reflux, however the results did not support this, finding no significant effect of the needle gauge on the likelihood of obtaining an injection score of 5. Therefore, the authors advocate the use of an 18 ga needle for ultrasound-guided injection of the cervical APJs.

243

Although the experienced operator obtained an injection score of 3 or higher (“hit”) on CT in 95.4 % of cases, compared to 83.6% of cases for the inexperienced operator, it was not possible to draw conclusions regarding operator experience with only two operators involved in the study. Therefore it was not possible to accept nor reject the null hypothesis that “the learning curve would be steep for an inexperienced operator and that the experienced operator would be more accurate overall”.

250 |
251
252 Cervical APJ injections are frequently undertaken in equine practice for investigation of
253 clinical signs of neck pain, obscure forelimb lameness or neurological deficit(s) associated
254 with the lower cervical region (1, 2). A response to corticosteroid injection is often used as
255 confirmation of the diagnosis (1). To avoid misinterpretation of this response it is imperative
256 that injections are accurate. The clinical importance of intra-articular versus periarticular
257 injection for therapeutic efficacy has yet to be established. It has been speculated that
258 periarticular deposition of corticosteroids in proximity to the joint may be sufficient to treat
259 osteoarthritis (6). However, as joint effusion, capsular fibrosis and periarticular bone
260 remodelling are implicated in the clinical signs and as the synovial response is proportionate
261 to the dose of corticosteroid, intra-articular injection is preferable (1, 8, 9). In addition, site of
262 injection may have a significant influence on anti-doping testing regimens for competition
263 horses (10). Despite replacing the stylet prior to withdrawal of the needle in this study, 48 of
264 132 injections (36.4 %) scored a 5 suggesting that inadvertent periarticular deposition of
265 some injectate may be unavoidable.
266
267 It is important to be aware of potential risks associated with this procedure, and measures
268 available to minimise them. It is theoretically possible to push the needle all the way through
269 the APJ, resulting in the needle contacting the nerve root ganglia, or deposition of injectate at
270 the nerve root ganglia. Although epidural injection of corticosteroids has previously been
271 described as a treatment for nerve root impingement caused by enlargement of cervical APJs,
272 needle penetration resulting in traumatic injury to the nerve roots is possible and thus should
273 be avoided (11). The CrD approach may be advantageous in this respect, avoiding both the
274 dorsal and ventral rami of the cervical nerves [12, 13]. There is also a risk of inadvertent

275 penetration of blood vessels in this area, for example the vertebral artery which lies ventral to
276 the APJ (11, 12). Thus the authors recommend that the needle should not be advanced more
277 than 1 cm following penetration of the joint capsule and to aspirate prior to injection.

278

279 As this was a cadaveric study, it did not directly simulate the conditions encountered when
280 injecting a conscious, standing animal. However, the above described techniques are
281 performed routinely in our hospital without complications. In a conscious animal, adequate
282 plane of sedation and restraint are essential to ensure patient compliance.

283

284 **Conclusion**

285 Ultrasound-guided injection of the cervical APJs is an easily-learned technique. Given that
286 high levels of accuracy can be achieved using either the D or CrD approaches, and with either
287 the linear or microconvex transducers, this technique may be employed by the equine
288 practitioner with equipment commonly used in the field.

289

290 **Manufacturers’ Details**

291 ^aSonosite M-Turbo, Bothwell, Washington, USA.

292 ^bIoversol 300mg/ml; Mallinckrodt UK Commercial Ltd, Hampshire, UK.

293 ^e~~Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.~~

294 ^d~~c~~SOMATOM Sensation 4, Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Germany.

295 ^d~~e~~Osirix, Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland.

296 ^f~~e~~ R Software, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL [https://www.r-](https://www.r-project.org/)
297 project.org/.

298 **References**

- 299 1. Birmingham S, Reed S, Mattoon J, et al. Qualitative assessment of corticosteroid cervical
300 articular facet injection in symptomatic horses. *Equine vet Educ* 2010; 22: 77-82.
- 301 2. Nielsen J, Berg LC, Thoenes M, et al. Accuracy of ultrasound-guided intra-articular
302 injection of cervical facet joints in horses: a cadaveric study. *Equine vet J* 2003; 35: 657-661.
- 303 3. Grisel G, Grant B, Rantanen N. Arthrocentesis of the equine cervical facets. *Proc Am Ass*
304 *equine Practnrs* 1996; 42: 197-198.
- 305 4. Mattoon JS, Drost WT, Grguric MR, et al. Technique for equine cervical articular process
306 joint injection. *Veterinary Radiol Ultrasound* 2004; 45: 238-240.
- 307 5. Chope K. How to perform sonographic examination and ultrasound-guided injection of the
308 cervical vertebral facet joints in horses. *Proc Am Ass equine Practnrs* 2008; 54: 186-189.
- 309 6. Barnsley L, Lord SM, Wallis BJ, et al. Lack of effect of intraarticular corticosteroids for
310 chronic pain in the cervical zygapophyseal joints. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330: 1047-1050.
- 311 7. Claridge HAH, Piercy RJ, Parry A, et al. The 3D anatomy of the cervical articular process
312 joints in the horse and their topographical relationship to the spinal cord. *Equine vet J* 2010;
313 42: 726-731.
- 314 8. Nout YS, Reed SM. Cervical vertebral stenotic myelopathy. *Equine vet Educ* 2003; 15:
315 212-223.
- 316 9. Levine JM, Scrivani PV, Divers TH, et al. Multicenter case-control study of signalment,
317 diagnostic features, and outcomes associated with cervical vertebral malformation-
318 malarticulation in horses. *J Am vet Med Assoc* 2010; 237: 812-822.
- 319 10. Knych HK, Vidal MA, Casbeer HC, et al. Pharmacokinetics of triamcinolone acetonide
320 following intramuscular and intra-articular administration to exercised Thoroughbred horses.
321 *Equine vet J* 2013; 45: 715-720.

322 11. Marks D. Cervical nerve root compression in a horse, treated by epidural injection of
323 corticosteroids. J Equine vet Sci 1999; 19: 399.


324 12. Berg LC, Nielsen J, Thoenes M, et al. Ultrasonography of the equine cervical region: a
325 descriptive study in eight horses. Equine vet J 2003; 35: 647-655.

326 13. Pepe M, Angelone M, Gialletti R, et al. Arthroscopic anatomy of the equine cervical
327 articular process joint. Equine vet J 2014; 46: 345-351.


328

329


1 **Figure 1:** Image showing transducer position for dorsal and craniodorsal approaches relative
2 to the long-axis of neck (represented by red line). The yellow line represents the transducer
3 angle for the dorsal approach, at approximately 90° to the long-axis. The green line
4 represents the transducer angle for the craniodorsal approach, at approximately 45° degrees to
5 the long-axis. Relative needle positions for the two approaches are represented by the white
6 asterisk.




9 **Figure 2 (a – d):** Ultrasound images (a, c), and CT images (b, d) showing needles *in situ* for
10 intra-articular injection of the cervical APJs. Ultrasound image (a) shows US-guided injection
11 using the dorsal approach, an 18 ga needle (arrowheads), and a linear transducer, with the
12 corresponding transverse CT image of a C2-C3 APJ (b). Ultrasound image (~~c~~**b**) shows US-
13 guided injection using the craniodorsal approach, an 18 ga needle (arrowheads), and a
14 microconvex transducer, with the corresponding transverse CT image of a C5-C6 APJ (d).



17 **Figure 3 (a – c):** Transverse contrast CT images depicting quantitative scoring system. Image
18 (a) of a C4-C5 APJ, arrow demonstrates a Score 1, arrowhead a Score 3; image (b) of a C2-
19 C3 APJ, arrow demonstrates a Score 2, arrowhead a Score 6; image (c) of a C3-C4 APJ,
20 arrow indicates a Score 4, arrowhead a Score 5.



22 **Figure 4:** Graph depicting learning curves of both experienced (XX) and inexperienced (XX)
23 operators, as shown by mean score (and SEM) obtained by each operator for each
24 consecutive neck specimen (horse number).



25

1 **Figure legends**2 **Tables**

3 Table 1. Contrast CT quantitative scoring system for evaluation of injection accuracy, with
 4 categorical ‘hit’/‘miss’ categories shown for each score (‘hit’ if contrast intra-articular on CT,
 5 ‘miss’ if no contrast intra-articular), and number of injections obtained for each score.

Score	Description	Hit/Miss	Number of injections
6	All intra-articular	Hit	38
5	Intra-articular with needle reflux	Hit	48
4	Intra-articular & intra- capsular	Hit	5
3	Intra-articular, intra- capsular & extra- capsular	Hit	27
2	Intra-capsular & extra-capsular	Miss	1
1	All extra-capsular	Miss	13

6

7 Table 2. Number of injections and proportion of ‘hit’/’miss’ (‘hit’ if contrast intra-articular on
8 CT, ‘miss’ if no contrast intra-articular) for each articular process joint (APJ), laterality,
9 needle gauge, operator, transducer (linear or microconvex; L or M, respectively) and
10 approach (dorsal or craniodorsal; D or CrD, respectively). ~~each APJ, laterality, needle gauge,~~
11 ~~operator, transducer and approach.~~

		Number of Injections	Contrast CT
		Performed	(Hit/Miss)
APJ	C2-C3	28	21/7
	C3-C4	33	31/2
	C4-C5	32	29/3
	C5-C6	18	18/0
	C6-C7	21	19/2
Laterality	Left	66	58/8
	Right	66	60/6
Needle gauge	18	66	61/5
	20	66	57/9
Operator	XX	65	62/3
	XX	67	56/11
Transducer	L	65	56/9
	M	67	62/5
Approach	D	68	61/7
	CrD	64	57/7

13 Table 3. Mean contrast CT scores for the eight highest-scoring combinations of approach
 14 (CrD and D; craniodorsal and dorsal, respectively), needle gauge, and transducer (L and M;
 15 linear and microconvex, respectively).

Approach	Needle Gauge	Transducer	Mean Score
CrD	18	L	4.84
D	18	M	4.65
CrD	18	M	4.54
CrD	20	M	4.50
D	18	L	4.24
CrD	20	L	4.21
D	20	M	4.21
D	20	L	4.13

16